

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/937,643	PHILLIPS ET AL.
	Examiner J. Eric Angell	Art Unit 1635

All Participants:

(1) J. Eric Angell.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Sima Kulkarni.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 June 2004

Time: afternoon

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DAVE T. NGUYEN
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
Dave

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were asked to consider a proposed Examiner's Amendment that would over come all rejections. Furthermore applicants were asked to cancel claims 70, 72, 74, 76 and 78. Applicants approved the Examiner's Amendment and agreed to cancel claims 70, 72, 74, 76 and 78. The pending claims are allowed in view of the Examiner's amendment, which reflects the guidance set forth in the Final Office Action dated 3/10/04.


Patent Examiner